

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,268	09/30/2003	Ben-Zion Friedman	P-6152-US	2063	
49444 PEARL COHI	7590 11/21/200 EN ZEDEK LATZER, I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1500 BROAD	WAY, 12TH FLOOR	LUONG, ALAN H			
NEW YORK,	NY 10036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2427			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			1110110000	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/673,268	FRIEDMAN, BEN-ZION	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ALAN LUONG	2427	

	ALAN LUONG	2427						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this liciation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ilication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time ods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS for this the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Sea MPEP 760.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fice. Appropriate extensions for leaunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a of NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amandment /	OTOL 224)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripliant Amendment (	- TOL-324).					
<ul> <li>a. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>b. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>								
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13.  Other:								
/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427	/ALAN LUONG/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of NOTE 3: Applicant amended previously presented claim 1 to recite "...

storing in a memory instructions for comparing a predetermined criterion to interim operational data, said data resulting from an a channel locking procedure to lock not not an incoming channel, when a signal is detected on an incoming channel.... comparing ...based on said instructions stored in said memory, if said predetermined criterion matches said interim operational data, ...if said predetermined criterion does not match said interim operational data, ...if said predetermined criterion of each not make the said interim operational data, not confituring locking nots said incoming channel. \*For this conson, the scope of the previously presented claim will be changed from that previously considered, this change will effect all dependent claims 2-11 of claim 1 Additionally, Applicant amended previously presented claims 12, 15, 18 and 22 to recite the same scope of the freet all dependent claims 13-14, 16-17, 19-21 and 23-25 of claim 12, 15, 18 and 22 respectively. Therefore, the claim amendment necessitating further search and/or consideration on the part of the examines.

A.L.

Oct 18, 2008